

Wrinkledog - Sagebrush  
Name of Applicant

Matt Dodson  
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>1</u>	5	<u>5</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: \_\_\_\_\_

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>10</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>0</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	<u>0</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 65

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Monies should go to Exchange Club, i  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ 2000

Sagebrush  
Name of Applicant

Lisa Salloway  
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>    </u>	5	<u>3</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>49</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>10</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>5</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness
		<u>25</u>	
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>    </u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u>    </u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>74</u>	

**Reviewer Conflict of Interest:** \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ 2,000

SAGEBRUSH TOURS  
Name of Applicant

CHIP MASSIE  
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>1</u>	5	<u>5</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>52</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>10</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>0</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>0</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		_____	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>67</u>	

**Reviewer Conflict of Interest:** \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ 2000.00

Winnaldeg  
Sagebrush Rendezvous

MAR auez

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Fall-2013 Tourism Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	1	<u>3</u>
(1-5) <u>2</u>	2	<u>4</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?  
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?  
Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?  
What is the potential to succeed?  
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?  
Is there evidence of in-kind support?  
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?

Is there a strong evaluation method?  
How will the applicant document the impact?  
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 48

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>5</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_____	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	_____	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_____	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 53

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ 2000

Wrinted by: Sagebrush  
Rendezvous  
 Name of Applicant

Julie Van Noortman  
 Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application  
 Selection Criteria Summary  
 (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>53</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>10</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>-</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>-</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>9</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>-</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u>-</u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>72</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ 2000