

Sedg
Name of Applicant

AMBERS
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2011 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>5</u>

How well does/will this project increase tourism?
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic?
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 50

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>4</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>4</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10	<u>4</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>4</u>	Family Friendliness
	<u>19</u>	

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 _____ Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS 69

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3000⁰⁰

The Hedge
Name of Applicant

K. Marjory
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2011 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score Weight Points

(1-5) 3 5 15

How well does/will this project increase tourism? *Yes. Not big #s.*
Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?

(1-5) 3 5 15

Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project?
Is the budget and plan realistic? *high*
What is the potential to succeed?
Is management and/or administration capable?

(1-5) 4 2 8

Is there demonstrated community support?
Is there evidence of in-kind support?
Are there endorsements by community groups?

(1-5) 3 1 3

Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.

(1-5) 4 2 8

Is there a strong evaluation method?
How will the applicant document the impact?
Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 49

Add Preference Points

0-10 _____

Shoulder Season – before June and after September

0-10 10

Outlying Areas

0-10 _____

Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure

0-10 5

Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10 _____

Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS 64

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Relatively small #s, Excellent event, fits well w/ K. Lamath
image of adventure travel.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3,500

The Lodge
Name of Applicant

CHIT
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2011_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>69</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>10</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>2</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u>10</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS		<u>96</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 4,000

The Lodge
Name of Applicant

Danielt Tehama
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2011 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>8</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>8</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>5</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>5</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>31</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>8</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>0</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u>4</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>8</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u> </u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINTS		<u>51</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Initial phases of project. Only owner/operator committing at this time.
Natural event connection; segmented/niche market

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$2,000-

Name of Applicant The Ledge

Name of Reviewer B Sullivan

Fall 2011 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

300 from outside

<u>Score</u>	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Points</u>	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 58

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>5</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September <u>October</u>
0-10	<u>4</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10	<u>4</u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure <u>4 Day event</u>
0-10	<u>3</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	<u>0</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-----	----------	---

TOTAL POINTS 74

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Good budget. #s

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 5000

4000
3540.50